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Today almost all Alaska Native villages have treated drinking water supplies available for the residents by means of piped distribution systems and/or watering points from which the treated water can be hauled to the homes not connected to the distribution systems. Many of these facilities were installed by the U.S. Public Health Service between 1960 and 1987. The Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation also began construction of water treatment and distribution facilities in the mid 1970s. Various Alaska Native organizations and entities are currently responsible for their operation, maintenance, repair, replacement, improvement and expansion. The treatment processes that are utilized vary greatly because the physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of the water sources are divergent and frequently unique. Some, such as in Barrow, Alaska, are state of the art.

Contrary to common belief, the raw water in Alaska often contains pathogens or substances that can be harmful to human health. Nevertheless some of the Alaska Native households, especially those that are not connected to a distribution system, prefer to obtain their drinking water from streams, ponds, snowmelt, ice melt, runoff from precipitation and other potentially contaminated natural sources.

A recent study\(^1\) of 250 Alaska Native households in four small remote villages in southwest Alaska revealed that only 18% of them obtained all their drinking water from the available treated sources and 39% never utilized the treated source. The remainder utilized both treated and untreated sources for their drinking water. The researchers set out to answer the question of why some turn to untreated sources and risk contracting waterborne illnesses and to use the findings as a basis to propose behavior-changing interventions.

**Why Avoid Treated Water?**

The researchers identified six categories of motives for drinking untreated water: chemicals, taste, health, access to water, tradition and cost. Forty-six percent of survey respondents cited treatment

---

chemicals, especially chlorine, as their prime motive for avoiding treated drinking water. Participant responses included: “We don’t like chemical water,” and “I don’t like chlorine.”

Comments on the presence in drinking water of chemicals merged frequently with comments on taste and negative health effects. Chlorine and iron in treated water were cited as distasteful while untreated rain and river water was described as “crisp,” “clean,” “sweet,” and “fresh.” Frequently cited health concerns associated with treated water were “gastrointestinal problems experienced by young children, older residents, and honored Elders.” In several cases, respondents highlighted “faulty operations and maintenance” for the taste and smell of chlorine, the color associated with iron, and potential health problems.

Access to water was a reason cited by 17 percent of respondents as a reason to avoid treated water. Comments include: “No transportation to haul [treated] water,” or “[Rain] is right outside.” Older residents referred to tradition as a reason for relying on untreated water. Finally, cost was cited as a deciding factor by residents of two of the study communities in which a fee is charged for treated water.

Recommendations for Changing Behavior

The researchers’ recommendations for promoting greater use of treated water are based on a “social-ecological framework” that takes into account family, community and policy. Such an approach, according to the authors, “acknowledges the importance of the environment in shaping individual behavior.” The researchers’ recommendations are summarized below:

- To address concerns about chemicals, taste and health, develop an education campaign tailored to the specific circumstances, culture and setting of the target population.
- To address tradition, intervention activities “must honor traditional practices while bringing forth new evidence-based health information.” Respected Elders and others can be invited to play a role in community-level intervention.
- To address access to water, construct piped water distribution systems that deliver treated water to homes. Where engineering and economic limitations present obstacles, promote innovative alternatives. See, for example, the Alaska state government’s Water and Sewer Challenge: http://watersewerchallenge.alaska.gov/.
- To address cost, implement a flat rate structure for water fees, which incentivize consumption of treated water.

Although no waterborne illness statistics for the study communities are offered by the researchers, there is little doubt that consuming untreated drinking water does present health risks, particularly to the young, the elderly and the immuno-compromised. The researchers have done an exemplary job of documenting the reasons why some Alaska Native people choose to drink untreated water. Their recommendations for promoting treated water use are logical and should be seriously considered. Furthermore, the researchers note their findings corroborate other studies conducted both in and out of Alaska. As such, their systematic methodology has exciting potential to promote greater public health awareness in communities underutilizing treated water.
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